Check for updates

Research Article



Cite this Article

Mukul EH, Jamil S, Suresh H, Hasan M, Ali HM, Chowdhury AA, Bari QI, Akhter A, Islam T, Rahman R, Islam N, Rony AR, Knowledge, attitude and practice of mothers on prevention and control of intestinal parasitic infection in a rural area of Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study. The Evi. 2024:2(1):1-. DOI:10.61505/evidence.2024.2.1.43

Available From

https://the.evidencejournals.com/index.php/j/a rticle/view/43

| Received: | 2024-03-06 |
|------------|------------|
| Accepted: | 2024-04-25 |
| Published: | 2024-05-28 |

Evidence in Context

 Investigated mothers' knowledge, attitudes, and practices on preventing intestinal parasitic infections.
Mothers showed good knowledge and attitudes but inadequate preventive practices.
Practices were significantly influenced by mothers' education, occupation, and family size.
Highlighted the importance of targeted public health programs on deworming and hygiene.
Suggested boosting community education to reduce infection rates effectively.

To view Article



Public Health

Knowledge, attitude and practice of mothers on prevention and control of intestinal parasitic infection in a rural area of Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study

Emdadul Hasan Mukul¹[®], Safayet Jamil^{2,3*}[®], Harshini Suresh⁴[®], Mahdi Hasan⁵[®], Habib Mohammad Ali⁶[®], ABM Alauddin Chowdhury²[®], Quazi Istiaque Bari⁷[®], Asma Akhter⁸[®], Tawhed Islam⁹[®], Rakibur Rahman¹⁰[®], Nazmul Islam¹¹[®], Ashikur Rahman Rony¹²[®]

¹ Department of Pharmacy, Khwaja Yunus Ali University, Sirajganj, Bangladesh.

² Department of Public Health, Daffodil International University, Dhaka, Bangladesh. ³Center of Health and Disease Research, Bangladesh.

- ⁴ Singhealth Dukenus Global Health Institute, Singapore.
- ⁵ Department of Statistics, Dhaka College, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- ⁶ Department of Media Studies and Journalism, University of Liberal Arts Bangladesh, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- ⁷ Department of Pharmacy, State University of Bangladesh, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- ⁸ Department of Public Health, Daffodil International University, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- ⁹ Department of Pharmacy, Islamic University, Khustia, Bangladesh.
- ¹⁰ Department of Pharmacy, International Islamic University, Chittagong, Bangladesh.
- ¹¹ Department of Pharmacy, Pabna University of Science and Technology, Pabna, Bangladesh.
- ¹² Department of Statistics, Govt Titumir College, Bangladesh.

*Correspondence: safayetkyau333@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Intestinal parasite infections (IPI) pose a substantial public health issue in numerous developing nations, such as Bangladesh. To prevent this, proper knowledge, attitude and good practice are essential. This research was conducted to determine the amount of knowledge, attitude, and practice that mothers possess, as well as the factors that are connected with their practice variables.

Methods: This study followed cross-sectional methods with a close-ended questionnaire. Convenience sampling technique was utilized for data collection. 648 respondents participated in this study. R software was used for data analysis including frequency analysis, chi-square test and logistic regression analysis.

Results: The mother's knowledge and attitude level were good. But the practice level was not satisfactory. Mother's education level, occupation and number of children were significantly associated with practice variables in this study.

Conclusion: It is recommended that public health programs be implemented to address the prevention and control of intestinal parasite infections. These efforts should specifically target mothers and school teachers, emphasizing the importance of deworming medications and promoting basic hand hygiene practices.

Keywords: intestinal parasitic infection, rural mother, anthelmintic, parasiticides, deworming, Bangladesh

© 2024 The author(s) and Published by the Evidence Journals. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Intestinal parasitic infestations (IPIs) are a significant public health concern in many developing countries, including Bangladesh. IPIs are caused by a variety of parasites, including protozoa, helminths, and intestinal worms, and they can lead to a range of health problems, such as malnutrition, anaemia, and diarrhoea [1]. In rural areas of Bangladesh, IPIs are particularly prevalent due to a lack of access to clean water, sanitation, and proper hygiene practices [2].

Mothers play a crucial role in the prevention and control of IPIs in their households. Their knowledge, attitude, and practice (KAP) regarding IPIs can greatly impact the health of their families [3]. Therefore, understanding the KAP of mothers in rural areas of Bangladesh regarding IPIs is essential for developing effective interventions to reduce the burden of IPIs in these communities [4].

The significance of this study lies in the fact that it will provide a comprehensive understanding of the KAP of mothers in the prevention and control of IPIs in rural areas of Bangladesh [4]. The findings of this study will not only help to identify gaps in knowledge and practice but also guide the development of interventions to improve the KAP of mothers and ultimately reduce the burden of IPIs in these communities [3]. Furthermore, the study will provide insight into the potential barriers and facilitators to the effective implementation of IPI prevention and control programs in rural areas of Bangladesh [5].

The results of this study will be particularly relevant for public health practitioners, policymakers, and researchers working in the field of IPI control in developing countries [1]. The study will provide a baseline for future research on the KAP of mothers in the prevention and control of IPIs in rural areas of Bangladesh and will contribute to the development of effective interventions to reduce the burden of IPIs in these communities [2].

This study's objective was to give a complete understanding of the knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) of mothers in rural parts of Bangladesh regarding the prevention and control of intraperitoneal infections (IPIs). The findings of this study will be helpful in informing the creation of interventions to enhance the knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) of mothers within these communities, which will ultimately lead to a reduction in the burden of IPIs. This study will provide insight into the potential barriers and facilitators to the effective implementation of IPI prevention and control programs in rural areas of Bangladesh.

The objective of this study is to assess the KAP of mothers on the prevention and control of IPIs in rural areas of Bangladesh. The research investigated whether or not there are any possible connections between KAP and other aspects, such as socioeconomic position, educational level, and access to medical care opportunities. The findings of this study will provide important information on the current state of knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) among mothers in rural areas of Bangladesh about IPIs.

Methods

Study area and design

The design of this study was a cross-sectional study [15], and the methodology used was quantitative [6]. It was carried out on mothers of several rural areas including Sirajganj, Pabna, Kushtia, Dhaka, Chattogram, Madaripur districts. This study was conducted from 30 May 2022 to 12 February 2023. The inclusion criteria were: a) mothers who had children between 1 month and 12 years old, b) mothers who lived in rural areas for at least 1 year.

Sampling and data collection

Convenience sampling technique was followed during data collection [7]. A total of 678 respondents participated in the survey. However, due to incomplete responses, we excluded 30 respondents. After that, a total of 648 participant's data was used for analysis. Data collection was performed by following a face-to-face approach [8]. Before data collection, eight surveyors were recruited for the survey. They presented the research goal and collected responses from consenting participants. All guidelines and procedures followed the World Medical Declaration of Helsinki [9].

Questionnaire settings

A structured four-sectioned questionnaire was used. Demographic status-related questions were in the first section. The second, third and fourth sections included knowledge, attitude and practice related questions. All questions were adopted from previously published studies [3, 10, 11, 12]. The questionnaire was in English language. To maintain the content validity, the questionnaire was approved by two experts.

Data analysis

Data analysis was performed using R software. Frequency analysis, chi-square analysis and binary logistic regression analysis were conducted. Frequency analysis was done to get the percentage of each variable. Chi-square analysis and binary logistic regression analysis were done to find out the associated factors between demographic variables and practice related variables. At the 95% confidence interval (CI), the *p*-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Demographic characteristics:

The table 1 shows the Socio-Demographic profile of the participants. A total of 648 participants were included in the study. Among them, 38.7% of the mothers had completed their primary education, 30.6% had completed their secondary education and 10.5% were illiterate. It was observed that 85.2% of the mothers were housewives and 91.8% of them had 1-3 children and 0.8% had more than 7 children.

| Variables | Categories | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| | Unable to write & read | 68 | 10.5 |
| | Read & write | 36 | 5.6 |
| Mother's Education | Primary | 251 | 38.7 |
| | Secondary | 198 | 30.6 |
| | Above Secondary | 95 | 14.7 |
| | Housewife | 552 | 85.2 |
| | Govt. employee | 23 | 3.5 |
| Mother's Occupation | Private employee | 32 | 4.9 |
| | Merchant | 9 | 1.4 |
| | Other | 32 | 4.9 |
| | 1-3 | 595 | 91.8 |
| No. of Child | 4-6 | 48 | 7.4 |
| | 7-9 | 5 | 0.8 |

Table 1: Demographic status of variables (Total sample, N=648)

Knowledge level of mothers on prevention and control of intestinal parasitic infection:

Table S1 depicts the Knowledge level of mothers on prevention and control of intestinal parasitic infection. Mothers listed abdominal pain (75.6%), abdominal cramps (58.3%), diarrhea (58.6%), vomiting (62.5%), loss of appetite (40.3%), and itching of anal area (57.4%) as signs and symptoms of intestinal parasitic infections.

Regarding the complications of intestinal parasitic infection, anemia was mentioned by 29.5% of the mothers, malnutrition by 78.5%, growth retardation by 35% of the mothers, and 53.5% mentioned diarrhea as a complication of parasitic infestations.

Regarding the mode of transmission, nearly 78.7% mentioned contaminated food, 58.9% mentioned because of eating raw food, 54.3% mentioned the reason as drinking contaminated water, and 70.4% described lack of hygiene as a mode of transmission.

Of the 648 mothers, 83.3% mentioned washing hands before eating as a method of prevention of intestinal infections, 63.4% mentioned that taking deworming drugs as a method of prevention,77.5% mentioned that use of clean toilet and 78.5% mentioned that cleaning hands before food and after defecation as the prevention methods for controlling the intestinal parasitic infections.

Attitude level of mothers on prevention and control of intestinal parasitic infection:

Table S2 shows the attitude of mothers on intestinal parasitic infections. 66.4% of the mother agreed that lack of hygiene causes intestinal parasite infections. 70.2% of the mothers agreed that this infection can be prevented and treated and 65.7% of the mothers agreed that health education can reduce the prevalence of this infection. 41.2% agreed that this infection can progress to cause growth retardation and 63.7% agreed that using soap for washing hands can be preventive for intestinal parasite and 63.7% agreed that consumption of cooked foods can prevent worm infestation. 66.8% agreed that foods prepared from outside of the home are unhygienic.

Practice level of mothers on prevention and control of intestinal parasitic infection:

Table S3 shows the practice level of mothers on intestinal parasitic infections. 73.9% of the mothers have never done stool examination for their children, 55.7% of them had mentioned that their child has been diagnosed for intestinal parasitic infections and 8.5% were not sure about the same. 81.6% of the mothers wash their child's hand after defecation and 84.7% and 82.4% wash their child's hand before meal and after meal respectively. 70.7% of the mothers cut their children's nails regularly, only 50.3% of the mothers give antihelminthic drugs to their children routinely and 63.9% of them clean their child thoroughly after defecation.

Chi-square analysis of demographic variables and practice level:

According to Table 2, 3 and 4 the association of demographic variables including mothers' educational status, occupation and number of children were assessed with the practice level of mothers on prevention and control of intestinal parasitic infections, the variables which were found to have significant association with mothers education status were children diagnosed with intestinal parasitic infection (p value<0.001), mothers who wash their child's hand after defecation (p value<0.001), before meal and after meal (p value<0.001), mothers who cut the child's nails regularly (p value<0.001) and mothers who use chemically treated or tap water (p value<0.001). Also there was a statistically significant association of mother education status with the mothers who give antihelmintic drugs to their children (p value<0.001), who wash fruits and raw vegetables thoroughly before eating (p value<0.001) and mothers who clean their child thoroughly after defecation (p value<0.001).

Mothers occupational status were found to have significant association with mothers who wash their child's hand after defecation (p value=0.001) and after meal (p value<0.006), mothers who use chemically treated or tap water (p value<0.012). Also there was a statistically significant association of mother occupational status with the mothers who clean their child thoroughly after defecation (p value<0.001).

While assessing the association of a number of children in the family with the practice level of mothers on prevention and control of intestinal parasitic infections, the variables which were found to have significant association with the number of children status were, mothers who wash their child's hand after defecation (p value<0.001), before meal and after meal (p value<0.001), mothers who cut the child's nails regularly (p value<0.001) and mothers who use chemically treated or tap water for washing (p value<0.007).

Table 2: Chi-square test for evaluating the correlation between practice-level of mother's and mother's education (N=648)

IPI Practice related variable Dependent variable

| | Mothers education status | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Level | Unable to write & read | Read & write | Primary | Secondar y | Above Secondary | Total | P-value | |
| | N(%)=68 (10.5) | N(%)=36 (5.6) | N(%)=25 1 (38.7) | N(%)=19 8 (30.6) | N(%)=95 (14.7) | N(%)=648 | _ | |
| Have your child ever | Yes | 26 (38.2) | 17 (47.2) | 155 (61.8) | 112 (56.6) | 51 (53.7) | <0.001 | 361 (55.7 |
| been diagnosed for intestinal parasitic | | 37 (54.4) | 15 (41.7) | 65 (25.9) | 76 (38.4) | 39 (41.1) | - | 232 (35.8 |
| infection? | Not sure | 5 (7.4) | 4 (11.1) | 31 (12.4) | 10 (5.1) | 5 (5.3) | | 55 (8.5) |
| Do you wash your | Yes | 42 (61.8) | 29 (80.6) | 208 (82.9) | 171 (86.4) | 79 (83.2) | 529 (81.6) | _ |
| child's hand after defecation? | | 11 (16.2) | 4 (11.1) | 12 (4.8) | 22 (11.1) | 11 (11.6) | 60 (9.3) | <0.001 |
| | Not sure | 15 (22.1) | 3 (8.3) | 31 (12.4) | 5 (2.5) | 5 (5.3) | 59 (9.1) | |
| Do you wash your | Yes | 42 (61.8) | 28 (77.8) | 205 (81.7) | 187 (94.4) | 87 (91.6) | 549 (84.7) | |
| child's hand before meal? | | 8 (11.8) | 1 (2.8) | 12 (4.8) | 10 (5.1) | 5 (5.3) | 36 (5.6) | <0.001 |
| | Not sure | 18 (26.5) | 7 (19.4) | 34 (13.5) | 1 (0.5) | 3 (3.2) | 63 (9.7) | |
| Do you wash your | Yes | 40 (58.8) | 31 (86.1) | 204 (81.3) | 174 (87.9) | 85 (89.5) | 534 (82.4) | |
| child's hand after meal? | | 6 (8.8) | 2 (5.6) | 10 (4.0) | 19 (9.6) | 6 (6.3) | 43 (6.6) | <0.001 |
| | Not sure | 22 (32.4) | 3 (8.3) | 37 (14.7) | 5 (2.5) | 4 (4.2) | 71 (11.0) | |
| Do you shorten your | Yes | 33 (48.5) | 21 (58.3) | 149 (59.4) | 175 (88.4) | 80 (84.2) | 458 (70.7) | |
| child's nails regularly? | | 21 (30.9) | 3 (8.3) | 24 (9.6) | 11 (5.6) | 9 (9.5) | 68 (10.5) | <0.001 |
| 5 , | Not sure | 14 (20.6) | 12 (33.3) | 78 (31.1) | 12 (6.1) | 6 (6.3) | 122 (18.8) | |
| | Yes | 10 (14.7) | 10 (27.8) | 84 (33.5) | 46 (23.2) | 53 (55.8) | 203 (31.3) | |
| Do you use chemically treated/tap water? | No | 48 (70.6) | 24 (66.7) | 105 (41.8) | 141 (71.2) | 39 (41.1) | 357 (55.1) | <0.001 |
| | Not sure | 10 (14.7) | 2 (5.6) | 62 (24.7) | 11 (5.6) | 3 (3.2) | 88 (13.6) | |
| Do you give anthelmintic drug routinely? | Yes | 17 (25.0) | 10 (27.8) | 131 (52.2) | 113 (57.1) | 55 (57.9) | 326 (50.3) | |
| | | 43 (63.2) | 16 (44.4) | 69 (27.5) | 69 (34.8) | 31 (32.6) | 228 (35.2) | <0.001 |
| | Not sure | 8 (11.8) | 10 (27.8) | 51 (20.3) | 16 (8.1) | 9 (9.5) | 94 (14.5) | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Mothers education status

| Do you wash fruits & | Yes | 41 (60.3) | 26 (72.2) | 172 (68.5) | 176 (88.9) | 87 (91.6) | 502 (77.5) | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------------|-----------|------------|--------|
| raw vegetables thoroughly before | | 11 (16.2) | 4 (11.1) | 17 (6.8) | 17 (8.6) | 6 (6.3) | 55 (8.5) | <0.001 |
| eating? | Not sure | 16 (23.5) | 6 (16.7) | 62 (24.7) | 5 (2.5) | 2 (2.1) | 91 (14.0) | |
| | Yes | 53 (77.9) | 32 (88.9) | 225 (89.6) | 188 (94.9) | 92 (96.8) | 590 (91.0) | |
| Do you wash meal before cooking? | No | 7 (10.3) | 1 (2.8) | 4 (1.6) | 4 (2.0) | 1 (1.1) | 17 (2.6) | <0.001 |
| | Not sure | 8 (11.8) | 3 (8.3) | 22 (8.8) | 6 (3.0) | 2 (2.1) | 41 (6.3) | |
| Do you clean your child | Yes | 31 (45.6) | 23 (63.9) | 142 (56.6) | 136 (68.7) | 82 (86.3) | 414 (63.9) | |
| thoroughly after defecation? | | 14 (20.6) | 5 (13.9) | 25 (10.0) | 32 (16.2) | 5 (5.3) | 81 (12.5) | <0.001 |
| | Not sure | 23 (33.8) | 8 (22.2) | 84 (33.5) | 30 (15.2) | 8 (8.4) | 153 (23.6) | |

Table 3: Chi-square test for evaluating the correlation between practice-level of mother's and mother's occupation (N=648)

| | | Dependent | variable | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------|----------|
| IPI Practice related | Level | Mothers occupational status | | | | | | |
| variable | | Housewife | Govt. employee | Private employee | Merchant | Other | Total | P-value |
| | | N(%)=552 (85.2) | N(%)=23 (3.5) | N(%)=32 (4.9) | N(%)=9 (1.4) | N(%)=32 (4.9) | N(%)=648 | _ |
| Have your child | | 303 (54.9) | 18 (78.3) | 22 (68.8) | 6 (66.7) | 12 (37.5) | 361 (55.7) | 0.096 |
| ever been diagnosed for intestinal | | 201 (36.4) | 5 (21.7) | 7 (21.9) | 3 (33.3) | 16 (50.0) | 232 (35.8) | _ |
| parasitic infection? | Not sure | 48 (8.7) | 0 (0.0) | 3 (9.4) | 0 (0.0) | 4 (12.5) | 55 (8.5) | |
| Do you wash | Yes | 450 (81.5) | 21 (91.3) | 30 (93.8) | 7 (77.8) | 21 (65.6) | 529 (81.6) | _ |
| your child's hand after | No | 56 (10.1) | 2 (8.7) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (11.1) | 1 (3.1) | 60 (9.3) | 0.001 |
| defecation? | Not sure | 46 (8.3) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (6.2) | 1 (11.1) | 10 (31.2) | 59 (9.1) | |
| Do you wash | Yes | 461 (83.5) | 23 (100.0) | 29 (90.6) | 9 (100.0) | 27 (84.4) | 549 (84.7) | _ |
| your child's hand before | No | 35 (6.3) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (3.1) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 36 (5.6) | 0.240 |
| meal? | Not sure | 56 (10.1) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (6.2) | 0 (0.0) | 5 (15.6) | 63 (9.7) | |
| Do you wash | Yes | 457 (82.8) | 21 (91.3) | 27 (84.4) | 7 (77.8) | 22 (68.8) | 534 (82.4) | <u>.</u> |
| your child's hand after | No | 38 (6.9) | 1 (4.3) | 4 (12.5) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 43 (6.6) | 0.006 |
| meal? | Not sure | 57 (10.3) | 1 (4.3) | 1 (3.1) | 2 (22.2) | 10 (31.2) | 71 (11.0) | |
| | Yes | 386 (69.9) | 21 (91.3) | 26 (81.2) | 7 (77.8) | 18 (56.2) | 458 (70.7) | <u>.</u> |
| Do you shorten your child's nails | | 60 (10.9) | 2 (8.7) | 2 (6.2) | 0 (0.0) | 4 (12.5) | 68 (10.5) | 0.133 |
| regularly? | Not sure | 106 (19.2) | 0 (0.0) | 4 (12.5) | 2 (22.2) | 10 (31.2) | 122 (18.8) | |

| Do you use | Yes | 158 (28.6) | 12 (52.2) | 15 (46.9) | 6 (66.7) | 12 (37.5) | 203 (31.3) | |
|--|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------|
| chemically treated/tap | No | 314 (56.9) | 10 (43.5) | 16 (50.0) | 3 (33.3) | 14 (43.8) | 357 (55.1) | 0.012 |
| water? | Not sure | 80 (14.5) | 1 (4.3) | 1 (3.1) | 0 (0.0) | 6 (18.8) | 88 (13.6) | |
| | Yes | 283 (51.3) | 12 (52.2) | 17 (53.1) | 4 (44.4) | 10 (31.2) | 326 (50.3) | |
| Do you give anthelmintic | No | 186 (33.7) | 10 (43.5) | 11 (34.4) | 3 (33.3) | 18 (56.2) | 228 (35.2) | 0.288 |
| drug routinely? | Not sure | 83 (15.0) | 1 (4.3) | 4 (12.5) | 2 (22.2) | 4 (12.5) | 94 (14.5) | |
| Do you wash | Yes | 425 (77.0) | 21 (91.3) | 26 (81.2) | 6 (66.7) | 24 (75.0) | 502 (77.5) | |
| fruits & raw vegetables | No | 48 (8.7) | 1 (4.3) | 4 (12.5) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (6.2) | 55 (8.5) | 0.368 |
| thoroughly before eating? | Not sure | 79 (14.3) | 1 (4.3) | 2 (6.2) | 3 (33.3) | 6 (18.8) | 91 (14.0) | |
| | Yes | 504 (91.3) | 22 (95.7) | 30 (93.8) | 9 (100.0) | 25 (78.1) | 590 (91.0) | |
| Do you wash meal before | | 14 (2.5) | 1 (4.3) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (6.2) | 17 (2.6) | 0.256 |
| cooking? | Not sure | 34 (6.2) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (6.2) | 0 (0.0) | 5 (15.6) | 41 (6.3) | |
| | Yes | 332 (60.1) | 21 (91.3) | 29 (90.6) | 7 (77.8) | 25 (78.1) | 414 (63.9) | |
| Do you clean your child thoroughly after | No | 77 (13.9) | 1 (4.3) | 2 (6.2) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (3.1) | 81 (12.5) | 0.001 |
| defecation? | Not sure | 143 (25.9) | 1 (4.3) | 1 (3.1) | 2 (22.2) | 6 (18.8) | 153 (23.6) | • |

Table 4: Chi-square test for evaluating the correlation between practice-level of mother's and no. of children of mothers (N=648)

| | | Dependent va | riable | | | | | |
|---|----------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------|---------|--|--|
| | | No. of children | | | | | | |
| IPI Practice related variable | Level | 1-3 | 4-6 | 7-9 | Total | P-value | | |
| | | N(%)=595 (91.8) | N(%)=48 (7.4) | N(%)=5 (0.8) | N(%)=648 | _ | | |
| | Yes | 334 (56.1) | 24 (50.0) | 3 (60.0) | 361 (55.7) | -0.201 | | |
| Have your child ever been diagnosed for intestinal | | 208 (35.0) | 23 (47.9) | 1 (20.0) | 232 (35.8) | -0.201 | | |
| parasitic infection? | Not sure | 53 (8.9) | 1 (2.1) | 1 (20.0) | 55 (8.5) | | | |
| | Yes | 494 (83.0) | 31 (64.6) | 4 (80.0) | 529 (81.6) | | | |
| Do you wash your child's hand after defecation? | No | 55 (9.2) | 4 (8.3) | 1 (20.0) | 60 (9.3) | <0.001 | | |
| | Not sure | 46 (7.7) | 13 (27.1) | 0 (0.0) | 59 (9.1) | - | | |
| | Yes | 512 (86.1) | 33 (68.8) | 4 (80.0) | 549 (84.7) | | | |
| Do you wash your child's hand before meal? | No | 33 (5.5) | 2 (4.2) | 1 (20.0) | 36 (5.6) | <0.001 | | |
| | Not sure | 50 (8.4) | 13 (27.1) | 0 (0.0) | 63 (9.7) | - | | |
| | Yes | 498 (83.7) | 32 (66.7) | 4 (80.0) | 534 (82.4) | | | |
| Do you wash your child's hand after meal? | No | 40 (6.7) | 2 (4.2) | 1 (20.0) | 43 (6.6) | 0.001 | | |
| | Not sure | 57 (9.6) | 14 (29.2) | 0 (0.0) | 71 (11.0) | - | | |

| | Yes | 428 (71.9) | 26 (54.2) | 4 (80.0) | 458 (70.7) | |
|---|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------|
| Do you shorten your child's nails regularly? | No | 60 (10.1) | 7 (14.6) | 1 (20.0) | 68 (10.5) | 0.077 |
| | Not sure | 107 (18.0) | 15 (31.2) | 0 (0.0) | 122 (18.8) | |
| | Yes | 179 (30.1) | 19 (39.6) | 5 (100.0) | 203 (31.3) | |
| Do you use chemically treated/tap water? | No | 336 (56.5) | 21 (43.8) | 0 (0.0) | 357 (55.1) | 0.007 |
| | Not sure | 80 (13.4) | 8 (16.7) | 0 (0.0) | 88 (13.6) | |
| | Yes | 305 (51.3) | 17 (35.4) | 4 (80.0) | 326 (50.3) | |
| Do you give anthelmintic drug routinely? | No | 205 (34.5) | 22 (45.8) | 1 (20.0) | 228 (35.2) | 0.171 |
| | Not sure | 85 (14.3) | 9 (18.8) | 0 (0.0) | 94 (14.5) | |
| | Yes | 461 (77.5) | 36 (75.0) | 5 (100.0) | 502 (77.5) | |
| Do you wash fruits & raw vegetables thoroughly before | | 52 (8.7) | 3 (6.2) | 0 (0.0) | 55 (8.5) | 0.626 |
| eating? | Not sure | 82 (13.8) | 9 (18.8) | 0 (0.0) | 91 (14.0) | |
| | Yes | 545 (91.6) | 40 (83.3) | 5 (100.0) | 590 (91.0) | |
| Do you wash meal before cooking? | No | 15 (2.5) | 2 (4.2) | 0 (0.0) | 17 (2.6) | 0.359 |
| | Not sure | 35 (5.9) | 6 (12.5) | 0 (0.0) | 41 (6.3) | |
| | Yes | 380 (63.9) | 29 (60.4) | 5 (100.0) | 414 (63.9) | |
| Do you clean your child thoroughly after defecation? | No | 77 (12.9) | 4 (8.3) | 0 (0.0) | 81 (12.5) | 0.297 |
| , | Not sure | 138 (23.2) | 15 (31.2) | 0 (0.0) | 153 (23.6) | |
| | | | | | | |

Logistic regression analysis of demographic variables and practice level:

Table 5 shows the logistic regression analysis of practice level of mothers with their educational status. Univariate logistic regression analysis was done to estimate the strength of association and to study the direction of association of various factors with the mother's educational status among the study participants. Out of the 10 influencing factors, odds ratio was statistically significant ($p < 10^{-1}$ 0.05) for all following practices related to Intestinal Parasitic infection. With respect to the practices, whether the child has ever been diagnosed for intestinal parasitic infection, Yes was taken as reference. When the child was not diagnosed with infection, log odds of Higher educational status of the mother was 0.41 times [95% CI: 0.24-0.69] high than the mother with lower educational status. The next practice whether the child wash his/her hands after defecation, yes was taken as reference. When the child doesn't wash the hands after defecation, log odds of Higher educational status of the mother was 0.38 times [95% CI: 0.19-0.83] high than the mother with lower educational status and mothers who were not sure of the child's hand washing practice, log odds of Higher educational status of the mother was 0.25 times [95% CI: 0.13-0.5] high than the mother with lower educational status. Regarding the practice of washing child's hand before meal, yes was taken as reference. When the child doesn't wash the hands before meal, log odds of Higher educational status of the mother was 0.29 times [95% CI: 0.13-0.72] higher than the mother with lower educational status and mothers who were not sure of the child's hand washing practice before meal, log odds of higher educational status of the mother was 0.21 times [95% CI: 0.11-0.4] high than the mother with lower educational status. The practice of child washing hands after meal, yes was taken as reference. When the mothers were not sure of the child's hand washing practice after meal, log odds of higher educational status of the mother was 0.18 times [95% CI: 0.13-0.5] less than the mother with lower educational status. With respect the practice of shortening the nails if the child, yes was taken as a reference. When the mothers doesn't shorten the nails of the child, log odds of higher educational status of the mother was 0.17 times [95% CI: 0.19-0.83] less than the mother with lower educational status. The practice of using chemically treated or tap water for

Washing hands, yes was taken as a reference. When the mother doesn't use chemically treated or tap water for washing the hands, log odds of Higher educational status of the mother was 0.33 times [95% CI: 0.16-0.65] high than the mother with lower educational status. The practice of giving antihelminthic drugs routinely, yes was taken as reference. When the mother doesn't give antihelminthic drugs to the child, log odds of Higher educational status of the mother was 0.24 times [95% CI: 0.13-0.42] high than the mother with lower educational status. Other factors, like washing the fruits and vegetables before eating, washing the meal before cooking and cleaning the child after defecation also showed statistically significant association with the mother's education status.

Besides on the multivariate analysis, the practice of washing child's hand before meal [0.4 (95%CI: 0.16-1.04)] and after meal [0.39 (95% CI: 0.16-1.02)] and shortening the child's nails regularly [0.45 (95% CI: 0.2-1.03)].

Table 5: Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis for finding the significantfactor with mother's educational status (N=648)

| TDT superior values duraviable | Level | Univariate | Multivariate | |
|---|----------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| IPI practice related variable | Level | OR (95% CI), p-value | OR (95% CI), p-value | |
| | Yes | - | - | |
| Have your child ever been diagnosed for intestinal parasitic infection? | No | 0.41 (0.24-0.69, p=0.001) | 0.62 (0.33-1.16, p=0.134) | |
| | Not sure | 0.78 (0.31-2.38, p=0.620) | 1.36 (0.43-5.15, p=0.624) | |
| | Yes | - | - | |
| Do you wash your child's hand after defecation? | No | 0.38 (0.19-0.83, p=0.010) | 0.69 (0.28-1.81, p=0.433) | |
| | Not sure | 0.25 (0.13-0.50, p<0.001) | 0.67 (0.26-1.84, p=0.426) | |
| | Yes | - | - | |
| Do you wash your child's hand before meal? | No | 0.29 (0.13-0.72, p=0.004) | 0.40 (0.11-1.54, p=0.171) | |
| | Not sure | 0.21 (0.11-0.40, p<0.001) | 0.40 (0.16-1.04, p=0.054) | |
| | Yes | - | - | |
| Do you wash your child's hand after meal? | No | 0.50 (0.21-1.38, p=0.139) | 1.96 (0.54-8.68, p=0.341) | |
| | Not sure | 0.18 (0.10-0.33, p<0.001) | 0.39 (0.16-1.02, p=0.049) | |
| | Yes | - | - | |
| Do you shorten your child's nails regularly? | No | 0.17 (0.09-0.33, p<0.001) | 0.45 (0.20-1.03, p=0.053) | |
| | Not sure | 0.60 (0.32-1.19, p=0.128) | 1.42 (0.58-3.67, p=0.458) | |
| | Yes | - | - | |
| Do you use chemically treated/tap water? | No | 0.33 (0.16-0.65, p=0.002) | 0.57 (0.25-1.24, p=0.170) | |
| | Not sure | 0.40 (0.16-1.02, p=0.052) | 0.69 (0.23-2.07, p=0.498) | |
| | Yes | - | - | |
| Do you give anthelmintic drug routinely? | No | 0.24 (0.13-0.42, p<0.001) | 0.55 (0.26-1.14, p=0.109) | |
| | Not sure | 0.59 (0.25-1.49, p=0.239) | 0.86 (0.32-2.50, p=0.775) | |
| Do you wash fruits & raw vegetables thoroughly before eating? | Yes | - | - | |

| No | 0.36 (0.18-0.77, p=0.006) | 0.75 (0.29-2.10, p=0.560) | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Not sure | 0.42 (0.23-0.80, p=0.006) | 0.73 (0.31-1.80, p=0.483) | |
| | Yes | - | - |
| Do you wash meal before cooking? | No | 0.14 (0.05-0.40, p<0.001) | 0.29 (0.09-1.09, p=0.055) |
| | Not sure | 0.41 (0.19-0.99, p=0.032) | 1.05 (0.35-3.44, p=0.934) |
| | Yes | - | - |
| Do you clean your child thoroughly after defecation? | No | 0.39 (0.20-0.79, p=0.006) | 0.49 (0.22-1.11, p=0.077) |
| | Not sure | 0.46 (0.26-0.82, p=0.008) | 0.67 (0.33-1.38, p=0.267) |

Table 6 shows the logistic regression analysis of practice level of mothers with their occupational status. Out of the 10 influencing factors, odds ratio was statistically significant (p < 0.05) for all following practices related to Intestinal Parasitic infection. The practice of using chemically treated or tap water for washing hands, yes was taken as reference. When the mother doesn't use chemically treated or tap water for washing the hands, log odds of mother who were unemployed were 0.48 times [95% CI: 0.3-0.76] high than the mother who were employed and the mother's who were not sure of this practice, log odds of mother who were unemployed were 0.35 times [95% CI: 0.15-0.74] high than the mother who were employed. The practice of whether the mother clean the child after defecation, yes was taken as reference. When the mother doesn't wash the child after defecation, log odds of mother who were unemployed were 0.21 times [95% CI: 0.06-0.52] high than the mother who were unemployed were of this practice, log odds of mother who were not sure of this practice, log odds of mother who were unemployed were 0.21 times [95% CI: 0.06-0.52] high than the mother who were unemployed were 0.28 times [95% CI: 0.13-0.54] high than the mother who were unemployed were 0.28 times [95% CI: 0.13-0.54] high than the mother who were unemployed were 0.28 times [95% CI: 0.13-0.54] high than the mother who were employed were 0.28 times [95% CI: 0.13-0.54] high than the mother who were employed were 0.28 times [95% CI: 0.13-0.54] high than the mother who were employed were 0.28 times [95% CI: 0.13-0.54] high than the mother who were employed were 0.28 times [95% CI: 0.13-0.54] high than the mother who were employed.

While performing multivariate analysis, the practice of using chemically treated/tap water, yes was taken as reference. When the mother doesn't use chemically treated or tap water for washing the hands, log odds of mother who were unemployed were 0.55 times [95% CI: 0.32-0.95] high than the mother who were employed and the mother's who were not sure of this practice, log odds of mother who were unemployed were 0.39 times [95% CI: 0.15-0.89] high than the mother who were employed. The practice of giving antihelminthic drugs to children, yes was taken as reference. When the mother doesn't give antihelminthic medications to the child, log odds of mother who were unemployed were 2.09 times [95% CI: 1.17-3.77] high than the mother who were employed. The practice of whether the mother clean the child after defecation, yes was taken as reference. When the mother doesn't wash the child after defecation, log odds of mother who were unemployed were 0.26 times [95% CI: 0.07-0.69] high than the mother who were employed and the mother's who were not sure of this practice, log odds of mother who were unemployed were 0.25 times [95% CI: 0.11-0.51] high than the mother who were employed.

Table 6: Logistic regression analysis for finding the significant factor with mother's occupation (N=648)

| | | Univariate | Multivariate |
|--|----------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| IPI practice related variable | Level | OR (95% CI) , p-value | OR (95% CI), p- value |
| | Yes | - | - |
| Have your child ever been diagnosed for intestinal parasition | | 0.81 (0.50-1.28, p=0.369) | 0.65 (0.37-1.10, p=0.113) |
| infection? | Not sure | 0.76 (0.30-1.67, p=0.526) | 0.63 (0.23-1.53, p=0.335) |
| | Yes | - | - |
| Do you wash your child's hand after defecation? | No | 0.41 (0.12-1.03, p=0.091) | 0.69 (0.19-1.97, p=0.522) |
| | Not sure | 1.61 (0.80-3.04, p=0.158) | 2.15 (0.93-4.80, p=0.065) |

| | Yes | - | - |
|---|----------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Do you wash your child's hand before meal? | No | 0.15 (0.01-0.71, p=0.063) | 0.13 (0.01-0.87, p=0.075) |
| | Not sure | 0.65 (0.26-1.39, p=0.310) | 0.34 (0.11-0.87, p=0.033) |
| | Yes | - | - |
| Do you wash your child's hand after meal? | No | 0.78 (0.26-1.88, p=0.615) | 1.53 (0.43-4.68, p=0.482) |
| | Not sure | 1.46 (0.75-2.68, p=0.243) | 1.68 (0.75-3.68, p=0.198) |
| | Yes | - | - |
| Do you shorten your child's nails regularly? | No | 0.71 (0.30-1.48, p=0.399) | 1.04 (0.40-2.51, p=0.925) |
| | Not sure | 0.81 (0.44-1.42, p=0.477) | 1.07 (0.48-2.29, p=0.867) |
| | Yes | - | - |
| Do you use chemically treated/tap water? | No | 0.48 (0.30-0.76, p=0.002) | 0.55 (0.32-0.95, p=0.031) |
| | Not sure | 0.35 (0.15-0.74, p=0.010) | 0.39 (0.15-0.89, p=0.034) |
| | Yes | - | - |
| Do you give anthelmintic drug routinely? | No | 1.49 (0.93-2.37, p=0.094) | 2.09 (1.17-3.77, p=0.013) |
| | Not sure | 0.87 (0.41-1.71, p=0.704) | 1.07 (0.47-2.29, p=0.874) |
| | Yes | - | - |
| Do you wash fruits & raw vegetables thoroughly before | No | 0.80 (0.32-1.74, p=0.608) | 0.93 (0.32-2.35, p=0.880) |
| eating? | Not sure | 0.84 (0.42-1.56, p=0.597) | 1.14 (0.50-2.53, p=0.748) |
| | Yes | - | - |
| Do you wash meal before cooking? | No | 1.26 (0.29-3.95, p=0.725) | 1.76 (0.32-7.07, p=0.462) |
| - | Not sure | 1.21 (0.48-2.66, p=0.663) | 1.25 (0.44-3.26, p=0.656) |
| | Yes | - | - |
| Do you clean your child thoroughly after defecation? | No | 0.21 (0.06-0.52, p=0.003) | 0.26 (0.07-0.69, p=0.016) |
| - · | Not sure | 0.28 (0.13-0.54, p<0.001) | 0.25 (0.11-0.51, p<0.001) |
| | | | |

Table 7 shows the logistic regression analysis of practice level of mothers with number of children. Out of the 10 influencing factors, odds ratio was statistically significant (p < 0.05) for all following practices related to Intestinal Parasitic infection. The practice of whether the child wash his/her hands after defecation, yes was taken as reference. When the mothers were not sure of the child's hand washing practice, log odds of having less number of children was 3.99 times [95% CI: 0.13-0.5] less than the mothers having children of higher order. Regarding the practice of washing child's hand before meal, yes was taken as reference. When the mothers were not sure of the child's hand washing practice before meal, log odds of having less number of children was 3.6 times [95% CI: 1.74-7.07] less than the mothers having children of higher order. The practice of child washing hands after meal, yes was taken as reference. When the mothers were not sure of the child's hand washing practice after meal, log odds of having less number of children was 3.4 times [95% CI: 1.68-6.56] less than the mothers having children of higher order. With respect the practice of shortening the nails if the child, yes was taken as reference. When the mothers were not sure of the practice of shortening the nails of the child, log odds of having less number of children was 2 times [95% CI: 1.01-3.8] less than the mothers having children of higher order. The practice of using chemically treated or tap water for washing hands, yes was taken as

Reference. When the mother doesn't use chemically treated or tap water for washing the hands, log odds of having less number of children was 0.47 times [95% CI: 0.25-0.86] less than the mothers having children of higher order.

On multivariate analysis, the practice of whether the child wash his/her hands after defecation, yes was taken as reference. When the mothers were not sure of the child's hand washing practice, log odds of having less number of children was 2.63 times [95% CI: 1.07-6.18] less than the mothers having children of higher order. The practice of using chemically treated or tap water for washing hands, yes was taken as reference. When the mother doesn't use chemically treated or tap water for washing the hands, log odds of having less number of children was 0.34 times [95% CI: 0.16-0.7] less than the mothers having children of higher order.

Table 7: Logistic regression analysis for finding the significant factor with no of child of mothers (N=648)

| IPI practice related variable | Level | Univariate | Multivariate |
|---|----------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | OR (95% CI), p-value | OR (95% CI), p-value |
| Have your child ever been diagnosed for intestinal parasitic infection? | Yes | - | - |
| | No | 1.43 (0.80-2.54, p=0.226) | 1.38 (0.70-2.73, p=0.351) |
| | Not sure | 0.47 (0.07-1.62, p=0.308) | 0.27 (0.04-1.09, p=0.109) |
| Do you wash your child's hand after defecation? | Yes | - | - |
| | No | 1.28 (0.43-3.14, p=0.617) | 1.23 (0.35-3.64, p=0.723) |
| | Not sure | 3.99 (1.92-7.92, p<0.001) | 2.63 (1.07-6.18, p=0.030) |
| Do you wash your child's hand before meal? | Yes | - | - |
| | No | 1.26 (0.29-3.73, p=0.714) | 1.43 (0.22-7.02, p=0.678) |
| | Not sure | 3.60 (1.74-7.07, p<0.001) | 2.26 (0.88-5.59, p=0.084) |
| Do you wash your child's hand after meal? | Yes | - | - |
| | No | 1.04 (0.24-3.04, p=0.953) | 0.96 (0.16-4.20, p=0.965) |
| | Not sure | 3.40 (1.68-6.56, p<0.001) | 1.57 (0.60-3.81, p=0.336) |
| Do you shorten your child's nails regularly? | Yes | - | - |
| | No | 1.90 (0.78-4.16, p=0.127) | 1.59 (0.54-4.28, p=0.376) |
| | Not sure | 2.00 (1.01-3.80, p=0.038) | 1.42 (0.59-3.34, p=0.421) |
| Do you use chemically treated/tap water? | Yes | - | - |
| | No | 0.47 (0.25-0.86, p=0.015) | 0.34 (0.16-0.70, p=0.004) |
| | Not sure | 0.75 (0.30-1.67, p=0.495) | 0.62 (0.22-1.58, p=0.337) |
| Do you give anthelmintic drug routinely? | Yes | - | - |
| | No | 1.63 (0.88-3.04, p=0.121) | 1.40 (0.63-3.08, p=0.408) |
| | Not sure | 1.54 (0.65-3.39, p=0.302) | 1.41 (0.54-3.45, p=0.459) |
| Do you wash fruits & raw vegetables thoroughly before eating? | Yes | - | - |
| | No | 0.65 (0.15-1.87, p=0.482) | 0.49 (0.09-1.85, p=0.343) |
| | Not sure | 1.23 (0.54-2.53, p=0.587) | 0.56 (0.20-1.40, p=0.231) |

| Do you wash meal before cooking? | Yes | - | - |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | No | 1.61 (0.25-5.97, p=0.533) | 1.80 (0.23-8.74, p=0.509) |
| | Not sure | 2.08 (0.75-4.88, p=0.119) | 1.03 (0.30-3.01, p=0.958) |
| Do you clean your child thoroughly after defecation? | Yes | - | - |
| | [′] No | 0.58 (0.17-1.51, p=0.317) | 0.76 (0.20-2.20, p=0.639) |
| | | | |

Discussion

In many developing countries, intestinal parasitic infections pose a serious threat to public health. Through this study, the researchers intended to acquire an understanding of the knowledge, attitudes, and practices of women living in rural areas of Bangladesh concerning IPI.

Here, the knowledge level of the mothers regarding the IPI in all aspects was in the range of 70-75%. Another study conducted by Sujan et al [13] in Bangladesh stated that 81.5% of the parents had inadequate knowledge of Helminthic infections and a study conducted by Narkkul et al [14] in Thailand stated that 70.4% of the respondents had a poor knowledge level. A similar study conducted by Kassaw et al [3] in Ethiopia stated that 45.2% of the mothers had good knowledge of preventing and controlling intestinal parasitic infection. The knowledge level of the mothers in this study is comparatively good compared to other studies, this may be due to the increased awareness of intestinal parasitic infections in the country.

The attitude level of the mothers slightly deviated from the knowledge level in this study. In comparison to this study, a similar study by Kassaw et al [3] in Ethiopia revealed that 55.3% of the mothers had a positive attitude and 44.7% had a negative attitude [10]. Narkkul et al stated that in their study 69.57% had a good attitude and 30.43% had a poor attitude towards soil-transmitted helminthiasis [14]. Regarding the practice level related to intestinal parasitic infections in this study shows that the majority of the mothers (73.9%) have never done stool examination and only 50.3% had given anthelminthic medications to the children.

Regarding the hand washing practices the mothers' practice level was good compared to other studies. A similar study in Bangladesh by Sujan et al stated that only 54.6% of the mothers consulted the physician in case of helminthiasis and only 60.5% of the mothers gave anthelminthic medications to their children and 64.8% of them did not wash their children's hands after defecation or before meal [13]. Kassaw et al stated that in their study 51.1% of the mothers had a good practice towards IPI and control [3]. To ameliorate the attitude and practice among mothers towards intestinal parasitic infection prevention and control, Behavioral change communication (BCC) programs should be conducted to a greater extent on good hygienic practices [10].

Furthermore, Public Health campaigns focusing on intestinal parasitic infection prevention and control activities like deworming medications and proper hand washing practices should be conducted for mothers and school teachers. For children to readily grasp these preventative measures and put some of them into practice, schools must teach students about health and cleanliness. Like India, National Deworming Day should be conducted twice a year in Bangladesh which can help in successfully eliminating these diseases. To eliminate Helminthic infections in Bangladesh by the target date of 2025, multi-sectoral coordination is mandatory. To effectively control intestinal parasitic infections, all of these strategies must be put into action simultaneously. To do so, it is necessary to get the support of the local governments, health professionals, schoolteachers, and the general public.

Conclusion

This study revealed the knowledge, attitude and practice level of mothers who were living in rural area of Bangladesh. Some factors were significantly associated with practice related variables. There has some bias regarding this study. As this study was conducted in some rural area of Bangladesh, nationwide survey may be conducted for getting exact scenario about intestinal

Parasitic infection prevention status. Sampling criteria may create bias in this study. So large sample should be used in next study.

Supporting information

Table S1: Knowledge of mother's on intestinal parasitic infection (N=648)

Table S2: Attitude of mother's on intestinal parasitic infection (N=648)

Table S3: practice level of mother's on intestinal parasitic infection (N=648)

Ethical Considerations

The study was approved by the Ethical Review Committee of Khwaja Yunus Ali University, Sirajganj 6751, Bangladesh. The reference number is KYAU/DEAN/SBS/2023/002.

Acknowledgments

None

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or notfor-profit sectors.

Author contribution statement

All authors contributed equally and attest they meet the ICMJE criteria for authorship and gave final approval for submission.

Data availability statement

Data included in article/supp. material/referenced in article.

Additional information

No additional information is available for this paper.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

1. World Health Organization. Intestinal parasitic infections. Available from: https://www. who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/intestinal-parasitic-infections; 2020. Accessed January 6, 2024 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]

2. Okoyo C, Campbell SJ, Williams K, Simiyu E, Owaga C, Mwandawiro C. Prevalence, intensity and associated risk factors of soil-transmitted helminth and schistosome infections in Kenya: impact assessment after five rounds of mass drug administration in Kenya. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2020 Oct 7;14(10):e0008604 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]

3. Kassaw MW, Abebe AM, Abate BB, Zemariam AB, Kassie AM. Knowledge, attitude and practice of mothers on prevention and control of intestinal parasitic infestations in Sekota Town, Waghimra Zone, Ethiopia. Pediatr Health Med Ther. 2020(6)8:161-9 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]

4. Megahed MA, Khalil NA, Ibrahem RA, El Disoki RS. Knowledge, attitude and practice of rural mothers towards home injuries among children under 5 years of age in Menouf District-Menoufia Governorate, Egypt. Menoufia Med J. 2016;29(4):1033 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]

5. Akhtaruzzaman M, Hossain MA, Khan RH, Karim MR, Choudhury AM, Islam MS, Ahamed F, Khan N, Ahammed SU, Dhar SK, Mahmud AA. Knowledge and practices of mothers on childhood diarrhoea and its management attended at a tertiary hospital in Bangladesh. Mymensingh Med J. 2015;24(2):269-75 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]

6. Mohammed AS, Asumah MN, Padhi BK, Sinha A, Mohammed I, Jamil S, Boasiako OA, Leman N, Kabir R. Predictors of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine uptake among health professionals: A cross-sectional study in Ghana. Vaccines. 2023;11(1):190 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]

7. Kabir H, Hasan MK, Tutul AH, Islam MS, Jamil S, Das BC, Islam MF, Jannat H, Ara R, Akter O, Biswas L. Prevalence and Determinants of Antibiotic Self-Administration Among Adult Antibiotic Users: A Cross-Sectional Study. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2022:2409-21 [Crossref][PubMed] [Google Scholar]

8. Jamil S, Bari QI, Akhter A, Hasan M, Mukul ME, Rashid PT, Hossain MJ. Self-medication practice and its potential determinants among Riverine-Island's people in Sirajganj, Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study. Bangladesh J Pharmacol. 2023;26(1):79-88 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]

9. Akhter A, Jamil S, Hossain MJ, Islam MM, Bari QI, Sultana MA. Hospital pharmacy practice and drug management system of Khulna division, Bangladesh: results from a cross-sectional study. Bangladesh Pharm J. 2022;25(1):44-53 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]

10. Celestino AO, Vieira SC, Lima PA, Rodrigues LM, Lopes IR, França CM, Barreto ID, Gurgel RQ. Prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections in Brazil: a systematic review. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 2021;54:e0033-2021 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]

11. Kasimayan P, Kasirajan AK, Rajan DR, Subbiah S, Balasundaram H. Knowledge and Practice on Prevention of Intestinal Parasitic Infection Among Mothers of Under-five Children in Bulehora Town, Bule Hora, Oromia Region, Southern Ethiopia. 2021. [Article][Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]

12. Abd El-Aal BG, Nady SE, Shokr EA, Shokry MW. Awareness And Practices Of Preventive Behaviors Toward Intestinal Parasitic Infection Among Mothers Of Preschool Children. J Posit School Psychol. 2022;6(8):6290-305 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]

13. Sujan MS, Islam MS, Naher S, Banik R, Gozal D. Predictors associated with knowledge and practice of helminthic infection prevention among rural school-aged children's parents in Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study. Front Public Health. 2020;8:542235 [Crossref][PubMed] [Google Scholar]

14. Narkkul U, Na-Ek P, Kaewkungwal J, Punsawad C. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding soil-transmitted helminthiasis among village health volunteers in Nakhon Si Thammarat Province, Thailand: A cross-sectional study. Trop Med Infect Dis. 2022;7(2):33 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]

15. Sifullah MK, Sohel MS, Jamil S, Hasan MM, Anika J, Swadhin HR, Chaudhary N, Rahman MN, Shaiara M, Islam MT, Ahmad B. Assessment of water, hygiene, and sanitation practice and associated factors among Bihari refugee camp in Bangladesh: A cross-sectional study. Health Sci Rep. 2024;7(2):e1910 [Crossref][PubMed][Google Scholar]

Disclaimer / Publisher's Note

The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of Journals and/or the editor(s). Journals and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.